## **Sediment TWG Feedback Form**

# for Draft Forest Roads Management Approach

1. Working definition of the universe of roads in consideration:

## **Forest Road Objectives:**

•	Please list any road types that have not been included and that should be?			
	0			
	0			
	0			
<u>2.</u>	Criteria for sediment delivery potential:			
•	Please provide any details or clarifications sug	gested for the following sections in the Approach:		
	Criteria for sediment delivery potential	Suggestions		
	of Chronic Sediment Delivery	ζ.		
piso	dic Delivery			
Comn	non Factors			
	Doubing according to wish			

#### 3. Ranking according to risk:

•	Are additional detail below:	s (or clarifications) r	necessary for the rank	ing guidelines?	If so, please lis	st
	0					
	0					
	0					
	0					

• Do you have suggestions for prioritizing project areas in which to do road work?

<u>4.</u>	Inventory/Information/Planning:
•	What type of information collection (methods, level of detail, means of processing/storage, etc.) is necessary to allow road system managers to quantify the number and type of water quality risks due to forest roads?
•	Do you have suggested changes to the inventory and assessment metrics? (e.g. are there road situations that need to be included but are not listed or vice versa?)
	0
	$\circ$
	$\circ$
	$\circ$
•	Please list any information on identification protocols for road risks, either additional references or protocol suggestions?
	0
	0
	0
	0
•	Please list any suggested improvements for Removal Planning requirement.
	$\circ$
	$\circ$
	$\circ$
	$\circ$
•	Please provide any suggested elements for a Biennial Progress Report format (e.g. convey the needed information, avoid being burdensome, adaptable to other road sectors)
	$\circ$
	0

0

#### • Timeline and Milestones:

Calendar Year	TMDL Year	Action Milestone	Suggestions
2013	0	TMDL Approved	
2015	2	Inventory & Assessment	
		Completed;	
		Start Road Work	
2017	4	Improvement &	
		Removal Plan Approved	
2019	6	-	
2021	8	25% of Plan Work	
		Completed	
2023	10	-	
2025	12	50% of Plan Work	
		Completed	
2027	14	-	
2029	16	75% of Plan Work	
		Completed	
2031	18	-	
2033	20	100% of Plan Work	
	Z.S.	Completed	

- Should the requirements for family forestlands (private nonindustrial) be based on ownership size or operational intensity/volume? What are the thresholds to consider a landowner industrial or nonindustrial?
- Can the family forestlands requirements be accomplished given the resource constraints of family forestland owners?
- Please provide any suggestions for Monitoring/Evaluation?

#### 5. <u>Identify BMPs:</u>

- Are the references cited in the document adequate for choosing suites of BMPs different road situations?
- Please list any experts and practitioners that you feel would provide valuable insight for the

	0
	0
	0
	0
•	Do you have suggestions for guidelines for choosing among BMPs? For example, when should transportation restrictions be used? Minor upgrades? Major upgrades? Vacation (removal of road)?
Gener	ral:
•	Do the 5 objectives address the information needs for forest roads? If not, what additional questions need to be asked?
•	Are there gaps in the Approach that have not been discussed in responses to the questions above and how can they be filled?
•	How important do you feel it is to explore options for expanding the geographic scope to all of the Mid Coast basin?
•	Please provide any additional references for assessment of forest road risks to water quality or forest road BMPs?

road situation/BMP table for this TMDL